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Abstract—This paper presents a control scheme for the Modular Multilevel Converter (MMC) to drive a variable-speed AC machine, especially focusing on improving dynamic performance. Theoretically, the energy balance in the MMC cell capacitors is prone to be unstable at start-up and at low frequency operations. Additionally, the MMC topology essentially requires advanced control strategies to balance energy and suppress the voltage pulsation of each cell capacitor. This paper proposes a control strategy for the robust dynamic response of MMC even at zero output frequency employing leg offset voltage injection. The leg offset voltage for balancing the arm energy is produced by direct calculation without the circulating current control loop controller. Thanks to the highly dynamic leg offset voltage from direct calculation and not conventional circulating current controller, the dynamic performance of the MMC at low speeds has conspicuously improved. The AC machine has been driven from standstill to rated speed without excessive cell capacitor voltage ripples utilizing this proposed strategy. The simulation and experimental results verify that stable operation is guaranteed down to less than 2% of the rated speed under 40% step load torque disturbance.

Index Terms—arm energy balancing, dynamic performance, inner circulating current control, modular multilevel converter, motor drive

 NOMENCLATURE

Superscript * reference value.
Superscript ' low frequency component.
Superscript ′ high frequency component.
$x$-phase representation of one of the $u$, $v$, or $w$ phases.
$r_{so}$ leg offset voltage of $x$-phase leg.
$r_{sn}$ common mode voltage.
$i_{so}$ circulating current of $x$-phase leg.
$\omega_3$ three-phase output frequency.
$R$ resistance of arm inductor.
$L$ inductance of arm inductor.
$C_{cell}$ capacitance of DC capacitor in a sub-module cell.
$E_{UP}$ upper arm energy in $x$-phase leg.
$E_{LN}$ lower arm energy in $x$-phase leg.
$r^{c}_{SP}$ $i^{th}$ cell capacitor voltage in upper arm in $x$-phase.
$r^{c}_{SN}$ $i^{th}$ cell capacitor voltage in lower arm in $x$-phase

I. INTRODUCTION

A Modular Multilevel Converter (MMC) with focus on high-power medium voltage AC motor drives is presented [1]-[10]. The use of the MMC makes it possible to save bulky reactive components in a medium-voltage motor drive application such as a line-transformer, harmonic filter, and DC-link reactor. Compared to conventional medium voltage source converters, the MMC has a modular structure made up of identical converter cells. Because it can easily provide higher number of voltage level for medium voltage applications, the quality of the output voltage waveform is better. Also, because of the modular structure it has advantages such as easy maintenance and assembly.

Fig. 1 shows the circuit configuration of an MMC. This topology needs to be controlled by extra balancing strategies. As shown in Fig. 1, since the upper and lower arm currents flow through cells in each arm, the corresponding arm currents cause fundamental periodic pulsations of cell capacitor voltages. The voltage pulsation of each cell’s capacitor is mostly affected by the output phase current and output frequency. Theoretically, the magnitude of the cell voltage fluctuation is proportional to magnitude of the output phase current and inversely proportional to operating frequency [6]. For this reason, special effort is demanded to drive the AC machine through MMC, which requires considerable starting torque and low speed steady state operation. In recent studies of [7]-[9] and [16], the principles and algorithms for AC motor drives with the MMC have been introduced. However, they did not address the actual control strategies such as changing output frequency including standstill and covering load torque disturbance.

The energy balancing control is one of the main issues of an MMC system. In many literatures [6]-[10], the energy balancing controls of an MMC that uses circulating current control and modulation scheme has been introduced. The leg offset voltage is used to regulate the circulating current and has little effect on AC and DC terminal voltages. The conventional balancing controls need the circulating current controller that produces the leg offset voltage reference from the input of circulating current references using the proportional and integral (PI) or the proportional and resonant (PR) controller. The performance of the circulating current controller has detrimental effects on the dynamics and complexity of the balancing control. Therefore, in order to improve the balancing performance by increasing bandwidth of the balancing controller, this paper proposes a balancing control method without the circulating current controller. Therefore, in the view point of capacitor voltage balancing, the leg offset voltage can be directly obtained with no phase delay due to the circulating current controller. So, the bandwidth of balancing controller based on the direct voltage injection method can be extended more than that based on the circulating current.
controller. In addition, the difference between the cell voltages can be reduced faster. As a result, from the perspective of the control dynamics and control complexity, the proposed leg offset voltage injection method is better and simpler than the conventional circulating current injection method. Furthermore, the injecting frequency of leg offset voltage injection method can be increased more than that of current injection method, because of the extended bandwidth of the proposed method. So, owing to the high frequency injection with the proposed method, the fluctuation of cell capacitor voltage can be minimized compared to circulating current injection method.

The goal of this paper is to propose a control strategy of the entire frequency range operation including standstill for variable speed AC motor drive. The proposed method reduces the control performance degradation of the MMC when the load torque abruptly changes. The control scheme introduces two operation modes. One is a low frequency mode for start-up and low speed operation, and the other is a normal frequency mode from medium to higher speed operation. The strategy in the low frequency mode exploits leg offset voltage and common mode voltage with the high frequency component to suppress the cell capacitor voltage ripple. The square wave voltage is used as the leg offset voltage, which shows that the circulating current peak is reduced when compared to sinusoidal waveform of the voltage [7]. A switchover tactic between two operation modes is described to drive the AC machine in the overall speed region.

To prove the effectiveness of the proposed control strategies, a 12kV 24MVA MMC based adjustable motor drive system was designed by using the PSIM software. The simulation results could offer the feasibility and advantage of the devised method for high power medium voltage drives with MMC. And then, experiments for variable-speed AC motor drives by a 10kVA prototype MMC emulating fans, blowers, or pump drive system were performed to verify the feasibility of the proposed balancing strategy. The experiments were conducted for comparing features of the sinusoidal and square wave leg offset voltage. The stable operation at 1Hz, which is less than 2% of the rated speed, is shown under an abrupt step load torque disturbance from 0% to 40% in order to demonstrate the dynamic performance. The experimental results show that all control strategies was well incorporated in the variable-speed AC motor drive system with a load where the torque varies in proportion to the square of the speed, like fans, blowers, or pumps.

II. CONFIGURATION AND BASIC PRINCIPLE OF THE MMC

Fig. 1(a) shows the circuit configuration of the MMC. The three-phase MMC is composed of three legs and each leg has two arms and two arm inductors. Each arm has cascaded N-identical half-bridge circuit based cells, and each cell consists of one DC capacitor and two active

\[
i_{xs} = i_{xu} - i_{xt}.
\]

\[
i_{xo} = \frac{i_{xu} + i_{xt}}{2}.
\]

\[
i_{xu} = \frac{1}{2} i_{xs} + i_{xo}.
\]

\[
i_{xt} = -\frac{1}{2} i_{xs} + i_{xo}.
\]

The leg offset voltage, \(v_{ra}\), produces a circulating current defined as (5), where \(R\) and \(L\) stand for the resistance and inductance of an arm inductor when all arm inductors in MMC are assumed to be identical. From the voltage relationships along the x-phase loop, the upper and lower arm voltage references are denoted as (6) and (7), respectively, where \(v_{dc}\) is the DC-link voltage, and \(v_{xP}\) and \(v_{xN}\) are the upper and lower arm voltage respectively. The common mode voltage, \(v_{cm}\), is the voltage difference between nodes ‘s’ and ‘n’, and \(v_{ss}\) is the phase voltage, which is \(v_{ss} = v_{mc}\cos(mt)\). A detailed mathematical description of the relationships in an MMC is given in [10].

\[
v_{xo} = \left( R + L \frac{d}{dt} \right) i_{xo}.
\]

\[
v_{xP} = v_{dc} - v_{xs} - s_{sn} = v_{xo}.
\]

\[
v_{xN} = v_{dc} + v_{xs} + s_{sn} = v_{xo}.
\]
the instantaneous power of each arm in the x-phase can be deduced as (8)-(9). These two equations must be considered to understand of the proposed balancing control.
\[ P_{SP} = v_{SP}^*i_{SP} = \left(\frac{V_{dc}}{2} - v_{xS}^* - v_{SN}^* - v_{SO}^*\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}i_{xS} + i_{SO}\right). \]  
(8)

\[ P_{SN} = v_{SN}^*i_{SN} = \left(\frac{V_{dc}}{2} + v_{xS}^* + v_{SN}^* - v_{SO}^*\right)\left(-\frac{1}{2}i_{xS} + i_{SN}\right). \]  
(9)

In addition, the upper and lower arm energy can be calculated by (10) and (11), respectively. Each arm energy is the sum of the cell capacitor energies in the corresponding arm at x-phase leg.

\[ E_{SP} = \frac{1}{2}C_{cell} \sum_{s=1}^{N} (v_{SPs})^2. \]  
(10)

\[ E_{SN} = \frac{1}{2}C_{cell} \sum_{s=1}^{N} (v_{SNs})^2. \]  
(11)

To nullify the low frequency as in (15) the low frequency component of \(2V_{SN}^*i_{so}\) should be regulated thus vsn and isn should be regulated as the same frequency same high frequency to make the power term of \(2V_{SN}^*i_{SO}\) have DC or low frequency component.

In the case of the sinusoidal leg offset voltage injection method, \(R_{SN}\) and \(f_{so}\) can be defined as (16)-(17), and \(m_{n}\) refers to the angular speed of the high frequency component, \(V_{sn}\) for the effective value of common mode voltage and the magnitude of high frequency leg offset voltage which may have DC and several low frequency components.

\[ i_{SN}^* = \sqrt{2}V_{SN} \cos(\omega_{ht}). \]  
(16)

\[ V_{SO}^* = V_{SO} \cos(\omega_{ht} + \phi). \]  
(17)

The phase angle \(\phi\) in (17) between the leg offset voltage and circulating current is derived from (18) to make the circulating current synchronize with the common mode voltage.

\[ \phi = arctan \left(\frac{\omega_{2L}}{R}\right). \]  
(18)

To balance the power difference between arms, a control strategy exploiting the common mode voltage, \(r_{SN}\), was used in this paper. The common mode voltage can be regarded as an additional degree of freedom for controllability since the common mode voltage does not affect the line-to-line output voltage. It is natural to select the frequency of the common mode voltage as a high frequency to minimize the cell capacitor voltage fluctuations. In addition, since the circulating current, \(i_{SO}\), is also a controllable element that does not affect the output phase current, a high frequency component can be superimposed on the circulating current. Hence, the third term on the right-hand side in (12), \(2r_{SN}i_{so}\), can be used to balance the power of arms with the high frequency components in \(r_{SN}\) and \(i_{SO}\). For convenience, the low and high frequency elements can be segregated from \(i_{SO}\) and \(r_{SN}\) as (13) and (14), where “~” and “*” refer to the low and high frequency components, respectively:

\[ i_{SO} = i_{SO}^* + i_{SO}^~, \]  
(13)

\[ v_{SN} = v_{SN}^* + v_{SN}^~. \]  
(14)
The power difference between the upper and lower arms can be rearranged from (12)-(14), and then, the low frequency power component can be extracted as in (15). Here, the power difference should be controlled as null.

\[ \left( P_{xp} - P_{sn} \right)_{\text{low freq.}} \approx 0.5 V_{dc} i_{xs} - 2 v_{sn}^* i_{xo} = 0 \quad \text{(15)} \]

And then, \( 2v_{sn}^* i_{dx} \) (15) can be substituted with (19). The magnitude of high frequency leg offset voltage, \( V_{dx} \) can be calculated as (20).

\[ \frac{\sqrt{2} V_{dx} V_{xo}}{\omega L} \approx \frac{1}{2} V_{dc} i_{xs} - 2 v_{sn}^* i_{xo} \]

\[ \Rightarrow V_{xo} \approx \frac{\omega L}{\sqrt{2} V_{sn}} \left( \frac{1}{2} V_{dc} i_{xs} - 2 v_{sn}^* i_{xo} \right) \quad \text{(20)} \]
Fig. 2. Proposed control scheme for variable-speed drives: (a) sinusoidal wave voltage injection method, (b) square wave voltage injection method.

In the case that the sinusoidal wave voltage is injected to both the common mode and the leg offset voltage, the balancing control strategy is depicted as a block diagram in Fig. 2(a). $E_{	ext{err}}$ is the energy difference between the upper and lower arms as in (21). $E_{	ext{err}}$ is the reference of energy difference and should be set as null to keep the balance of the arm energies.

$$E_{	ext{err}} = \frac{1}{2} E_{\text{SHO}} \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{N} (v_{x0n}^2 - v_{x0n}^2)^2 \right].$$

In this case, $P_{\text{err}}$ can be defined by (23), and $f_h$ stands for the frequency of the injected high frequency voltage.

$$P_{\text{err}} = \begin{cases} V_{sn} - V_{sn}^* & (0 \leq t < \frac{1}{2f_h}) \\ V_{sn}^* & \left(\frac{1}{2f_h} \leq t < \frac{1}{f_h}\right) \end{cases}.$$ (23)

under the assumption that the arm resistance, $R$, is dominant during each given quasi steady half period,

$$1/(2f_h), P_{\text{err}}$$ can be approximated as (24) from (5), (15), and (23).

$$P_{\text{err}} \approx \begin{cases} R \left[ V_{dc}i_{x0} - 2v_{x0}i_{x0} \right] & (0 \leq t < \frac{1}{2f_h}) \\ 2r \left[ V_{dc}i_{x0} - 2v_{x0}i_{x0} \right] & \left(\frac{1}{2f_h} \leq t < \frac{1}{f_h}\right) \end{cases}.$$ (24)

In the case of the square leg offset voltage injection, on the other hand, the square wave voltage can be injected to both the common mode and the leg offset voltage, as shown in Fig. 2(b). In this case, $P_{\text{err}}$ can be defined by (23), and $f_h$ stands for the frequency of the injected high frequency voltage.

As mentioned previously, as the high frequency components of the common mode and leg offset voltage are only injected in low operating frequency modes, the leg offset voltage reference changes depending on the output frequency of MMC. A switchover tactic between the low and high frequency modes shown in Fig. 3 is devised by the weighting factor, $\alpha_W$. And, this factor is applied to the switchover of the balancing control scheme shown in Fig. 2. In addition, the tactic would have the hysteresis band to prevent chattering in the vicinity of the switchover frequency, $f_{\text{cut}}$.

$$P_{\text{xp}} + P_{\text{sn}} \approx V_{dc}i_{x0} - 2v_{x0}i_{x0} - v_{x2}i_{x2} - v_{x3}i_{x3}.$$ (25)
OVERALL CONTROL SCHEME FOR ENTIRE FREQUENCY OPERATION

Fig. 4 shows the overall controller for the entire frequency operation from standstill to normal control.
Because the low frequency power component in (25) should be nullified as in (26), the controller output has DC and 2nd order harmonic frequency components as described in (27).

\[ P_x = P_{x,w} \text{low,free}, \quad V_{dc}i_{x0} + \text{v}_s^{*} = 0. \]  
\[ E_{1eg} \text{ is the energy of the leg, and it can be calculated and the cell capacitance is } 6000 \mu \text{F}. \]  
\[ E_{1eg} = E_x + E_{c}, \quad E_x = \frac{1}{2}C_{\text{cell}} \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{N} (e_{5n})^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{N} (e_{5n})^o \right], \]  
\[ E_{1eg} \text{ is the reference energy of the leg as (29). } \]

where \( r_c \) is the reference value of cell capacitor voltage, \( V_{dc} / N \).

The feed-forwarding power term, \( P_{x1}^{*} \), can be derived as \( i_{x0}^{*} \) from (27). Therefore, the proportional and integral controller can simply be adopted as the circulating current controller for the averaging control. The details about the averaging controller are described in [10].

Meanwhile, the balancing controller can be chosen between two schemes in Fig. 2 which are, namely, the sinusoidal and the square wave voltage injections. As shown in Fig. 4, the balancing controller directly makes the leg offset voltage without the circulating current controller to eliminate the energy difference between upper and lower arms. By reason of this fact, the balancing controller has a wider bandwidth, and can achieve a better transient response compared to the control scheme based on the inner circulating current regulation loop.

Finally, the upper and lower arm voltage references are synthesized as (6) and (7), which are composed of \( r_{x0}^{*} \) from the output of phase current controller, \( r_{x0}^{*} \) from the averaging and balancing controller, and the injected Modulation (NLM) is applied to generate the arm voltage references and reduce the switching loss of MMC [15].

The cell voltage sorting algorithm is applied to the cell voltage balancing [14]. The parameters used in the simulation are listed in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rated apparent power</td>
<td>( S_{\text{MMC}} )</td>
<td>24MVA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated line-to-line rms voltage</td>
<td>( V_s )</td>
<td>8.32kV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated line frequency</td>
<td>( f_s )</td>
<td>60Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-link voltage</td>
<td>( V_{dc} )</td>
<td>12kV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell capacitance</td>
<td>( C_{\text{cell}} )</td>
<td>6000 \mu \text{F}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm inductance</td>
<td>( L )</td>
<td>4mH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is assumed that the 21-level MMC system drives a 20MW 20-pole Permanent Magnet Synchronous Machine (PMSM) with adjustable mechanical load. The PMSM parameters are summarized in Table II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rated active power</td>
<td>( P_{\text{rated}} )</td>
<td>20MW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated line-to-line rms voltage</td>
<td>( V_{\text{rated}} )</td>
<td>8kV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated rotational speed</td>
<td>( \omega_{\text{rated}} )</td>
<td>3600r/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pole pair number</td>
<td>( PP )</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the simulation results, the devised method can be common mode voltage of \( \Phi^{*} \).
IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

To verify the effectiveness of the proposed control strategy, an adjustable speed drive system based on 12kV applied to high power medium voltage adjustable drive system based on MMC. From the dynamic comparison between circulating current injection with the inner current loop and the proposed leg offset voltage injection method, it can be concluded that the proposed method might be an
acceptable solution for high power medium voltage drives based on MMC under requirements of considerable torque disturbance and steady state operation down to a few percent of the rated frequency.

Fig. 5 shows the low frequency operation at 1Hz (6r/min, less than 2% of the rated frequency) with an abrupt step load torque from 50kN-m (10%) to 200kN-m (40%) at 4s. Fig. 5(a) shows the simulation result of the sinusoidal wave leg offset voltage method, and Fig. 5(b) shows that of the square wave leg offset voltage method. The high frequency (100Hz) voltage is used to balance the arm in low the frequency mode in both sinusoidal and square wave cases. Before 4s, the PMSM is controlled to be 6r/min with 10% load torque. At the time point 4s, the 40% load torque is abruptly applied to the PMSM. Regardless of the impact of step load torque, MMC systems with both sinusoidal and square wave cases have successfully kept the stable operation. Meanwhile, comparing the waveforms between Fig. 5(a) and Fig. 5(b), the square waveform method can save the magnitude of the circulating current. Additionally, it has better balancing ability than the sinusoidal waveform method, from the view of the u-phase upper and lower cell capacitor voltage fluctuations.

Meanwhile, in Fig. 6, the simulation results with the conventional circulating current injection method based on the inner current regulating loop is shown. All operating conditions are identical to those in Fig. 5 except for the magnitude of the step load torque. For fair comparison between the conventional current injection and proposed leg offset voltage injection methods, the bandwidth for the balancing controller of the two methods is set as the same, and the frequency of the injected component was also set as the same, 100Hz. The magnitude of the step load torque applied at the conventional current injection method is 36% of the rated torque, which is less than the proposed method test in Fig. 5. As shown in Fig. 6, the system based on the conventional method becomes unstable and stalls in a moment at the end. After the abrupt step load torque is applied at 4s, the cell capacitor voltage fluctuations are larger than the fluctuations when using the leg offset voltage injection method in Fig. 5.
3-PHASE INDUCTION MOTOR

This section covers 3-phase asynchronous motors, the most commonly used motors for driving machinery. The use of this type of motor has become the norm in a large number of applications because of its numerous advantages: it is standardized, rugged, easy to maintain and use, and inexpensive.

Stator

This is the altered piece of the engine. A cast iron or light combination casing encompasses a ring of dainty overlays (around 0.5 mm thick) made of silicon steel. The covers are protected from each other by oxidation or a protecting varnish. The "overlay" of the attractive circuit diminishes misfortunes by means of hysteresis and whirlpool streams. The overlays have openings in them for holding the stator windings that create the pivoting field (three windings for a 3-phase engine). Every winding is comprised of various loops. The way these curls are joined to each other characterizes the quantity of sets of posts of the engine, and accordingly the pace of turn.

Rotor

This is the moving piece of the engine. Like the attractive circuit of the stator, it is comprised of a heap of dainty covers protected from each other, framing a keyed barrel on the engine shaft. Two distinct innovations can be utilized for this part, which isolate offbeat engines into two unmistakable families: those with a "squirrel confine" rotor and those with an injury rotowhic are alluded to as "slip-ring".

2.4.1 PRINCIPLE AND WORKING

At the point when 3 stage supply is given to the engine, the subsequent current produces an attractive flux "Ø". Due to the exchanging succession of 3 stage current in R, Y and B, the created flux pivots around the rotor conductor As showed by Faraday's law which communicates that — "an emf influenced in any close circuit is a direct result of the rate of advancement of appealing flux through the circuit". Emf is provoked in the Copper bar and on account of this, present streams in the rotor. The course of rotor can be given by Lenz law which communicates that — "the orientation of actuated current will be in the converse of the development on it" Here the relative speed between the turning flux and static rotor conductor is the explanation behind current time; subsequently the rotor will rotate in the same going to abatement the reason i.e. the relative rate, subsequently turning the rotor of the insincerity motor.

POWER SUPPLY:

The stator is connected with a 3-stage aerating and cooling power supply. In the going hand in hand with blueprint organize An is joined with stage An of the power supply. Stage B and C would similarly be connected with stages B and C of the power supply indivassociated with stages B and C of the force supply individual.

At the point when air conditioning voltage is connected to the stator, current courses through the windings. The attractive field grew in a stage twisting relies on upon the bearing of current move through that winding. The accompanying outline is utilized here for clarification just. It will be utilized as a part of the following couple of outlines to show how a pivoting attractive field is created. It accept that a positive current stream in the A1, B1 and C1 windings bring about a north post.
V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The proposed control strategy is validated by the 300V 10kVA reduced scale prototype MMC shown in Fig. 7. The number of cells in each arm, N, is 6, so there are a total of 36 cells used for the three-phase system. Each cell capacitor voltage is controlled at 50V and each cell includes two power MOSFET switches which have 100V/120A ratings. The level-shifted Phase Disposition PWM (PD-PWM) generates arm voltage references [12]-[13], and the voltage balancing of cell capacitors in each arm is achieved by sorting algorithms [14]. The carrier frequency of each cell is set as 2kHz and the DC link capacitance of each cell is 4400μF. The parameters of the system under test are summarized in Table III.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rated active power</td>
<td>P_{base}</td>
<td>11kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated line-to-line rms voltage</td>
<td>V_{rms}</td>
<td>200V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated rms line current</td>
<td>I_{rms}</td>
<td>58.6A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated rotational speed</td>
<td>α_{pwm}</td>
<td>1750r/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pole pair number</td>
<td>\beta</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. The Sinusoidal Leg Offset Voltage Injection

Fig. 8 shows the waveform in condition of the low frequency operation mode at 1Hz output frequency with 20% load torque. The injected leg offset voltage is the 100Hz sinusoidal wave. Fig. 6 shows the upper and lower arm energy of the \( u \)-phase calculated from (10)-(11). The difference between the upper and lower arm is counterbalanced by \( r_{\text{sn}} \). When the energy difference, \( E_{\text{ul}} - E_{\text{ul}} \), is negative, the high frequency circulating current and offset voltage are controlled 180 degrees out of phase as shown in Fig. 8(a). In contrast, when the difference is positive, the circulating current and offset voltages are controlled to be in phase in Fig. 8(b).

B. The Square Leg Offset Voltage Injection

Fig. 9 shows the waveform of the low frequency operation mode at 1Hz output frequency when using the square leg offset voltage: (a) negative energy difference and (b) positive energy difference.

As described in [7], the square wave can shave the peak of circulating current by 50%. However, because of trapezoidal waveform in this experiment the peak value of circulating current (\( i_0 \)) is smaller by 37% than that of the sinusoidal voltage case.
C. Dynamic Comparison between Circulating Current Injection and Leg Offset Voltage Injection

Fig. 10. The experimental waveform when applying the leg offset voltage injection method with $m_m = 15\text{r/min}$ and 40% step load torque at $t_0$.

Fig. 11. The experimental waveform when applying the conventional circulating current injection method with $m_m = 15\text{r/min}$ and 20% step load torque at $t_0$.

Fig. 10 shows the low frequency operation at 1Hz ($15\text{r/min}$, less than 2% of the rated speed) when an abrupt step load torque was applied from 0N-m to 24N-m (40%) at $t_0$. The square wave leg offset voltage is injected in 100Hz for the arm balancing at this experimental result. Before time point, $t_0$, the PMSM was controlled to be $15\text{r/min}$ without load torque. At the time point, $t_0$, the 40% step load torque is applied through torque control of the IM. After $t_0$, the upper and lower arm energy fluctuations became larger because of the significant output phase current by the step load torque. Furthermore, the transient response in the circulating current becomes well regulated within 300ms after the step variation in the torque. Regardless of the impact of load torque, PMSM has successfully kept the speed showing reasonable low speed performance. This result confirms that the proposed controller achieves a good transient response.

In contrast, the conventional circulating current injection method with the inner current regulating loop has been applied to balancing control as shown in Fig. 11. For fair comparison between the conventional current injection and the proposed leg offset voltage injection methods, the bandwidth for two methods was set as the same, and the frequency of the injected component was also set as the same, 100Hz. However, the magnitude of the step load torque applied at the conventional current injection method was half of the proposed method in Fig. 10. As shown in Fig. 11, the system became unstable and stalled at the end despite the lower external load torque. After the abrupt step load torque was applied at $t_0$, the fluctuations of the upper and lower arm energies were larger than that when using leg offset voltage injection method in Fig. 10. Finally, the balancing controller could not achieve system balance, and the overvoltage trip of cell capacitor occurred and the system stalled at the time point of $t_1$.

And it can be concluded that the leg offset voltage injection method has better disturbance load torque rejection performance.

D. The Operation from Standstill to Normal Frequency Mode

Finally, Fig. 12 shows the entire operation from standstill to the normal frequency mode, 1000r/min. In order to conduct the switchover operation in a practical application of variable speed drive such as fans, blowers, or pumps, the load torque was emulated with IM in proportional to the square of the speed. Furthermore, the start-up torque of 24N-m (40%) is included in the torque profile ($T_{\text{start}}$, $T_{\text{profile}}$). And, the phase current before $t_{\text{start}}$ is regulated as the DC value. At the time point $t_{\text{change}}$, the switchover process starts, and the operating mode changes to the normal frequency operation mode. When the rotating speed ($m_{m_{m}}$) is 1000r/min, the applied load torque to PMSM is 100% of the rated torque, 60N-m. As shown in Fig. 12, it has been confirmed that the proposed control strategies depicted in Fig. 4 are well implemented and incorporated properly to drive the variable speed AC machine in overall speed including standstill by the MMC.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, a control strategy for variable-speed AC motor drives based on MMC has been presented. To overcome the difficulties of the power balance between cells and arms of MMC over wide operation speed ranges, a direct leg offset voltage injection method has been devised. Utilizing the proposed method, the ripple voltage of each cell of MMC has been kept within allowable
bounds under the sudden application of 40% of rated load torque at the extremely low frequency, 1Hz, which is less than 2% of rated frequency. Based on the simulation and experimental results, it can be noted that the control performance of the upper and lower arm energy ripple by the proposed leg offset voltage injection method is better than that by the conventional circulating current injection method with the inner loop. In addition, the variable speed AC motor drive has been proven to work based on the switchover tactic by testing the overall speed including standstill.

Fig. 12. Experimental waveforms in the condition of variable speed drive from starting to 1000r/min with 40% starting torque and the increasing load torque depending on rotating speed.
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